

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/829,066	NISSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frank W Lu	1634	

All Participants:

(1) Frank W Lu.

(2) Mr. Aaron Schwartz (Req. No. 48,181).

Status of Application: after non-final rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 November 2004

Time: 2 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicant agrees with the examiner's amendments..*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3, 4, 82, and 83

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Schwartz agrees with the examiner's amendments.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)